

RESEARCH SERIES

GROWTH AND POVERTY REDUCTION IN UGANDA 1992-2000: PANEL DATA EVIDENCE


Research Series No. 28

ECONOMIC POLICY RESEARCH CENTRE



Economic Policy Research Centre

51 Pool Road, Makerere University Campus P.O. Box 7841, Kampala, Uganda
Tel: 256-41-541024/541023, 540141/540159 Fax: 256-41-541022 Email: eprc@eprc.or.ug
Website: www.eprc.or.ug



Turn to the back pages of this paper for a listing of current working paper files and subscription ordering information.

**GROWTH AND POVERTY RE-
DUCTION IN UGANDA 1992-200:
PANEL DATA EVIDENCE**

Research Series No. 28

by

Klaus Deininger

and

John Okidi

June 2001



Economic Policy Research Centre

51 Pool Road, Makerere University Campus, P.O. Box 7841 Kampala,
Uganda. Tel: 256-41-540141/540159, 541023/24; Fax: 256-41-541022,
E-mail: enrc@eprc.or.ug

Growth and poverty reduction in Uganda, 1992-2000:

Panel data evidence

Abstract: To explore factors underlying growth and poverty reduction in Africa, as well as the magnitude of future challenges, we use micro level evidence from Uganda spanning the 1992-2000 period. Policy variables such as prices for agricultural exports, access to public goods such as health care, electricity, and infrastructure, as well as initial endowments of physical and human capital are found most important for growth and poverty reduction. Simulations confirm that policies to confront widening regional disparity are feasible but will require a sustained effort.

1. Introduction

Even though bringing about sustained growth in Africa remains one of the biggest challenges for the development profession (Collier and Gunning, 1999), the broad growth literature has had difficulty coming to grips with the particular character of this continent. In virtually all cross-country growth regressions, the "Africa dummy", i.e. some unexplained factor that causes African economies to show significantly lower growth than the rest shows up uncomfortably large. Attempts to whittle down the size of this dummy (e.g. Smith 2001, Freeman 1999, Sachs and Gallup 2000; Easterly 2001) by changing the way in which specific variables are constructed or by introducing variables relating to institutional, physiogeographic, and ethnic endowments have, in this context, had only limited success. As a result, even though there are large differences in recent growth performance between African countries, a large part of "Africa's growth paradox" persists. Inability to explain this differential could reduce the applicability and acceptance of policy conclusions derived from such studies.

In this paper, we address the issue by exploring determinants of economic growth and poverty reduction for Uganda, using data from a panel of about 1200 households that span the 1992-2000 period. Uganda is a country that shares many of the structural factors that are generally quoted as responsible for low growth in an African context. For example, it is ethnically diverse, subject to tropical diseases such as malaria, does not have direct access to the ocean, and had to cope with a large onslaught of AIDS since the late 1980s. These obstacles notwithstanding, Uganda has, over the past decade, managed to achieve some of the highest growth rates in Africa. Analysis of the factors underlying this performance can help to better understand growth and poverty reduction in an African context, provide policy-relevant insights that go beyond what is known from the cross-country literature. By

providing a better understanding of the character and magnitude of the challenges ahead, it can also help formulate policies that could address these challenges.

To do so, we proceed in three steps. First, we estimate determinants of economic growth at the household level, drawing on the insights gained in the cross-country literature. Second, we expand this to a consideration of poverty reduction. Third, in order to make the analysis relevant for policy, we perform simulations. The variables included in the analysis are initial household characteristics and endowments, access to health and infrastructure, social capital and violence, as well as prices for the main agricultural outputs. Linking these together in household level data set allows overcoming many of the shortcomings inherent in the cross-country literature and provides valuable insights concerning the impact of policies, public services, and asset endowments.

A first finding is that price changes for the country's main tradable product, coffee, had a strong impact on increased growth. They also benefited the poor, as confirmed by evidence that many small producers were able to enter the coffee industry. Although this illustrates that liberalization of agricultural markets has had a strong poverty-reducing effect, it also highlights the dangers posed by sudden price decreases, especially for an agrarian economy with a very limited degree of diversification. Efforts to improve producer prices in other crops such as cotton and measures to help enhance diversification at the farm and the marketing and agro-processing stages remain an important part of the agenda for sustained poverty reduction.

A second conclusion is that access to key public goods such as health care, electricity, infrastructure, and the avoidance of civil strife has been critical determinants of households' ability to increase their income and reduce the risk of falling into poverty. Providing such goods in an efficient and equitable manner appears to have potential for greatly improving the scope for future poverty reduction in Uganda. Finally, in view of the importance of initial endowments of physical and human capital for both growth of income and poverty reduction in Uganda, policies to encourage asset accumulation and investment are desirable.

The paper is structured as follows. Section two reviews the literature and the econometric specification to be applied. Section three describes the data and illustrates key changes in socio-economic variables in the aggregate as well as for poor and non-poor and different regions. It also provides a brief description of changes in inequality for both income and assets (in the cross section) and changes in poverty for the households included in the panel.

Section four contains a discussion of the econometric evidence for changes in per capita expenditure as well as poverty. Section five concludes with a number of suggestions for policy and research.

2. Key questions and methodology

Determinants of growth and poverty reduction have been discussed in a large cross-country literature. In this section, we review some of the key methodological issues associated with the reliance on cross-country data in the literature and how use of household level data can potentially improve on this. We use this to motivate the choice of specific variables to be included in subsequent regressions.

2.1 Determinants of long-term growth: Lessons from the literature

Determinants of long-term income growth and, to a lesser degree, poverty reduction, have been explored in great detail by comparing across countries. Following the studies by Mankiw, et al. (1992) and Barro (1991), a large and rapidly expanding literature has tried to identify the relationship between initial endowments, government policies, and other factors, on growth. Starting with the variables suggested by standard neoclassical growth models, the range of factors considered has expanded rapidly to include measures related to institutional infrastructure, the distribution of opportunities and assets, and physio-geographic and natural characteristics of the country under concern. This was in tandem with a significant increase in the quality of some of the variables used for this purpose (e.g. on human capital endowments).¹ The resulting insights have inspired thinking on economic development and policies that might be conducive to higher levels of more broadly based growth. At the same time, these contributions leave open a number of questions and issues (Durlauf and Rodrik 2001; Easterly 2001):

First, the limited number of country observations available and the open-endedness of the underlying model puts limits to the ability to more rigorously test the robustness of the underlying hypotheses and the parameters obtained. This, together with the fact that many of the explanatory variables are correlated, implies that any specific result may be highly dependent on the particular specification adopted. Also, given the need to use data from the

¹ The reader is referred to Durlauf and Quah (1999) for a comprehensive overview of the "standard" growth models and to Aron (2000) for a discussion of the more specific institutional variables incorporated. Some of the more important variables relate to institutional issues and the rule of law (corruption in Mauro, democracy in Barro, civil liberties, instability, political rights, bureaucratic performance), initial mineral wealth and other endowments such as distance to the equator and land-lockedness (Sachs and Warner and Sachs and Radelet Sala i Martin), inequality, policy variables such as price distortions and levels (Easterly) fertility (Barro and Lee), government consumption, health (Sachs and Warner), socio-economic variables such as religion, and war.

national level, aggregation bias, together with measurement error may pose problems. This would not only result in losing most of the information specific to gender but also lump together all policy changes in a single time dummy. Also, many of the variables chosen are at best imperfect representations of what the model intends to measure. Differences or sudden shifts in standards and underlying definitions can conceal considerable heterogeneity within and across countries. This problem is aggravated by unobservable differences in the policy regime, which in addition to increasing measurement errors, may be related to country-specific unobservable attributes (Brock and Durlauf, 2000).

Second, looking only at aggregate country-level data also make it more difficult to deal with issues of poverty and inequality in addition to growth and in an integrated framework. Whether policies that aim to increase growth will at the same time also help the poor has been widely debated in the literature (Dollar and Kraay 2000; Rodrik, 2000). Existing distributional data across countries are too noisy to make specific inferences on the issue (Deininger and Squire 1998; Banerjee and Duflo, 2000) and it is unlikely that, barring a significant improvement in the data basis available, cross-country data and approaches will allow to resolve this issue (Bourguignon 2000). This limits, for example, the ability to test empirically the extent to which initial asset endowments are a determinant for future growth, a possibility that is suggested by recent contribution to theory (Aghion et al. 1999; Bardhan et al. 1999).

Finally, to the extent that differences in growth are “explained” with reference to immutable country-specific factors, the relevance of cross-country evidence for actual policy formulation is limited. Increased availability of country level information that has led to Panel data can deal with such issues, although availability of reliable information on the variables of concern becomes a serious issue. Although immutable country-specific factors such as the length of a country’s coastline (or whether it is landlocked or not), its ethnic composition, and location in the tropics can “explain” significant part of the variation in growth rates observed across countries, the policy relevance of such a finding is limited. Ability to move include variables such as gender and other policies

To overcome the limitations inherent in the cross-country literature and gain additional insights on issues of the behavioral relationships related to growth, poverty, and inequality, a number of authors have resorted to micro-level panel data. For example, Jalan and Ravallion (2001) use a panel covering four periods in six provinces in China to explore households’ ways of consumption smoothing and risk coping. Glewwe and Grangolati (2000) explore a 4-

year panel from Vietnam to illustrate not only the regionally differentiated nature of growth but also to identify factors that helped households to escape poverty or that caused them to fall into poverty. If such panel data span broad policy changes at the macro-level such as liberalization of input or output prices, modification of subsidy schemes related to social services and education, or a large contraction due to balance of payment difficulties, the ability to use information about the same household in two periods will allow to obtain more precise and less biased estimates. Glewwe and Hall (1998) use a panel from Peru spanning four years to explore how differences in initial endowments affected households' ability to deal with a macro-economic crisis.

Although data for Africa have been somewhat more limited in the past, a number of recent panel data sets allow an analysis of similar questions in this continent. Carter and May (2001) illustrate the differential impact of pre-existing differences on the ability to overcome pre-existing cleavages, and in particular the impact of initial asset endowments as a factor in allowing blacks to make up for previous discrimination in the immediate post-apartheid period (i.e. 1993-1998). Dercon (2001) uses a shorter panel from Ethiopia to demonstrate the importance of price variables as well as exogenous shocks (rainfall) for the analysis of growth at the households level in Ethiopia.

Our analysis builds on these contributions and aims to apply them to the study of growth determinants in Uganda. We use panel data from Uganda that span not only a relatively long period (1992-2000) but also coincide with considerable changes in policy, in particular liberalization of agricultural trade in the early 1990s, a coffee price boom up to 1995/96, and the adoption of a program of Universal Primary Education in 1997. While there is little dispute that, over this period, Uganda has experienced a remarkable fall in aggregate poverty, the contribution of different policy factors to this outcome, as well as the regional distribution of poverty reduction, are disputed and can only be insufficiently explored using cross-sectional data. In this context, the panel allows a direct analysis of factors that contribute to changes in households' expenditure as well as their poverty level, thus allowing a simulation of the impact of specific factors on changes in poverty. In addition, we are able to consider the impact of a much richer set of initial variables many of which correspond to those used in the cross-country literature but are likely to be much less affected by measurement error and problems of comparability. Before discussing the econometric specification, we describe the data and the variables included.

2.2 Data sources, choice of variables, and relation to the literature

Our data come from two large-scale Ugandan household surveys. The first one is the 1992 Integrated Household Survey, a comprehensive multi-purpose household survey based on a nationally representative sample of 9886 households. In addition to the standard socio-economic and expenditure information, this survey contains detailed information on economic activities for enterprises operated by the household in six sectors, namely crop and livestock farming, manufacturing, services, trade, and hotels. The second source of data is the 1999/2000 Uganda National Household Survey (UNHS), a nationally representative survey of 10,696 households fielded between August 1999 and September 2000. Even though it contains less detail on other enterprises, it includes a highly disaggregated account of agricultural production.² Both surveys also were accompanied by an elaborate community module that allows to link households' use of social services to changes in the supply of such services at the community level. Moreover, the UNHS included a panel element of about 1,300 of the same households that were included in the 1992 survey. We use the panel, together with information on initial household characteristics, infrastructure, health, social capital, and output prices, to make inferences on determinants of growth and poverty reduction at the household level. The justification for choosing specific variables, as well as some details regarding their construction, is explained below.

Physical and human capital assets: If credit market imperfections or other indivisibilities in investment imply that only households with a minimum level of assets can make investments that enable them to enhance the return to their labor (Birdsall et al. 1999)³ or if changes in macro-policy such as liberalization result in a sudden increase of returns to existing assets, initial asset endowments will have a significant impact on changes in households' consumption and poverty, as well their ability to overcome shocks (Aghion 1999; Townsend 2000). Inclusion of households' initial asset endowment, calculated based on retrospective information given in the 2000 survey,⁴ allows to test to what extent ownership of higher initial endowments of physical capital has been associated with higher levels of growth or have enhanced households' ability to escape poverty.

² For more detail on survey design see UBOS 1992 and 2000.

³ An illustration for the latter would be investments to improve land or housing which will not be undertaken if the household is a tenant and does not own any of the assets in question.

⁴ To measure assets in a comparable way, the 2000 survey asked for current as well as past values of 12 categories of household assets including buildings, 9 types of livestock plus structures for livestock rearing, and 10 categories of enterprise land, tools, transport equipment, and other enterprise assets. The need to rely on retrospective information so arose because of deficiencies in the 1992 data. In-depth study of the extent to which there is mis-reporting or mis-recording of assets in the survey could provide important methodological and substantive insights but clearly exceeds the scope of this paper. As the survey asked households only about the relative level of asset ownership (did not own, somewhat less, much less, somewhat more, much more) as compared to the present, we imputed 1992 asset values by multiplying the value for each of the items with 0, 0.75, 0.5, 1.25, and 1.5, respectively).

Although cross-country regressions have in some cases had difficulty to obtain clear results,⁵ numerous micro-economic studies confirm that, especially in situations where technology or other economic conditions change rapidly, human capital will have a key impact on growth (e.g. Rosenzweig 1998). In Uganda, analysis of the impact of education on subsequent growth is of particular interest to assess whether the recent emphasis on expanding access to education through government programs, especially the Universal Primary Education initiative (UPE), have indeed managed to target one of the more critical factors for subsequent development. We use the mean number of school years completed in the household to represent the initial human capital endowment.

Infrastructure: A key lesson from the empirical literature on cross-country growth is the significance of infrastructure and “endowment” variables such as whether or not a country has access to the sea (Sachs and Warner 1998), the length of its coastline, or the presence of minerals that can be exploited. Although these estimates may be biased insofar as they may capture other country-level fixed effects,⁶ more careful construction of the stock of infrastructure available finds a smaller, though still significant impact (Canning 2000) and a number of sub-regional studies find government spending on infrastructure highly significant (Hazell 1998; Fan 2000). Two key infrastructure assets in Uganda are availability of electricity and roads. To assess to what extent infrastructure access affects a household’s growth opportunities, and one could thus make a case for government to increase provision of such infrastructure, we use the availability of electricity at the household level and the community-level distance to the municipality.

Health: While earlier literature paid scant attention to health issues, a number of recent studies have pointed out the importance of disease pressure, proxied initially by a “tropical dummy” and subsequently infant mortality or proxies for the incidence of malaria, as a possible constraint for economic growth especially in Africa (Gallup and Sachs 2000, Masters and Sachs 2001). Given the limited time variation in this measure, the variable may pick up largely other unobserved country-specific effects. Use of household level information on whether or not diseases were experienced in the initial period allows to partly overcome this constraint. Based on the finding that observed illness is strongly related to supply-side

⁵ A number of ways to construct appropriate measures of countries’ human capital stock have been discussed in the literature (see Barro and Lee, 2000). In many cases, however, coefficients in cross-country regressions turned out to be either insignificant or even negative (Forbes, 2000; Freeman 1999).

⁶ One could think of many such variables. For example, the density of the road network, agro-climatic potential including variables such as soil quality and rainfall, as well as natural disease pressure and the availability of (public or private) preventive and curative infrastructure. Household data allow us to use more specific measures for each of the above. For example, landlocked nature and distance to the equator are factors that do not vary over time and are likely to proxy for other unobserved characteristics. These might include transport costs and

factors such as non-availability of medicines, bad quality of service, or lack of doctors (Deininger, 2001), we use a dummy for observed illness to measure access to health services in the regression.

Social capital: Following the identification of ethnic diversity as a factor that is directly or indirectly responsible for much of Africa's "growth tragedy" (Easterly and Levine 1998),⁷ a wide range of social capital related variables have been constructed and included in country-level growth regressions (Aron, 2000, Weir, 2000). There are two types of social capital variables. One affects primarily the cost of entering in and conducting economic transactions of all kinds (Fafchamps, 1999). This would include variables such as the density of the social network, presence of mutual trust, confidence in local institutions, and levels of ethnic diversity. A second set of variables related to conflict and civil strife can not only impede economic transactions but also cause direct damage and destruction of the economy's stock of human and physical capital (Collier 1999).⁸ We use initial levels of ethnic diversity and civil strife as indicators for each of these aspects, respectively. The former is justified in view of the fact that Uganda has both a high level of ethnic diversity⁹ and the variable of interest is constructed from community-level information on the share of the five most important ethnic groups in the community, normalized to fall between 0 and 1. To capture the incidence of civil conflict, we include a household level variable equaling one if, in 1992, the household's economic activity was affected by civil strife and zero otherwise, a variable that was obtained from the 1999/2000 survey.

Output prices: Although the central role of government policies is well recognized in the literature on cross-country growth (e.g. Easterly, 2001), it has been difficult for this literature to find appropriate proxies for such policies, leading to the use of very indirect proxies for policy variables. One of the key features of recent policy reforms in Uganda as well as other African countries has been the elimination of taxes and other controls on prices for agricultural output, something that resulted in a significant increase in farm-gate coffee prices. To capture this price increase we use the change in regional median prices for coffee, the main marketable output (the most tradable produce), between 1992 and 2000.

⁷ Note that this view is not undisputed (Arcand et al. 1999)

⁸ In fact, a strong and negative impact of civil strife on economic growth has recently attracted independent attention (Collier and Hoeffler, 2000). A more detailed analysis of this phenomenon is available in Deininger (2001).

⁹ Clearly, with 51 recognized ethnic groups many of which can not understand each other's languages, ethnic differences continue to be a major determinant of social interaction in today's Uganda.

2.3 Econometric specification

Let Y_{it} be per capita expenditure including home consumption of household i in period t and define the growth rate of this variable between $t-1$ and t (here taken to represent 1992 and 2000) as $\dot{C}Y_i$ (i.e. $\dot{C}Y_i = Y_{it} - Y_{it-1}$). Then, the growth equation to be estimated regresses observed growth on a set of initial conditions, i.e.

$$(1) \dot{C}Y_i = K + 2X_{it-1} + \gamma Z_{it-1} + M_i$$

where X_{it-1} is a vector of initial household level characteristics, including endowments with human and physical capital derived from the Solow growth model as well as variables relating to health, gender, and household composition. Z_{it-1} denotes a vector of initial community-level variables including access to infrastructure, other public goods and "bads" (violence and ethnic fractionalization), price changes, and initial income and poverty levels at the community level (excluding household i) as explained above.¹⁰ Note that use of changes in income will not necessarily eliminate household fixed effects but result in more efficient estimates (Glewwe and Hall 1998).

In addition to examining the impact of initial conditions on households' income growth, we are interested in the effect of the same variables on changes in the level of poverty. To measure poverty, we use the poverty line constructed by Appleton (2000) and let $\dot{C}P_i^\alpha$ be the change in the Foster-Greer-Thorbecke index P^α ($K=1, 2$) for household i between the two periods.¹¹ We can then define a reduced form regression similar to the one above for changes in poverty with right hand side variables defined analogously.

$$(2) \dot{C}P_i^\alpha = K + 2X_{it-1} + \gamma Z_{it-1} + L P_{it-1}^\alpha + M_i$$

The right hand side variables are the same as those included in the growth equation discussed earlier. Since the dependent variable is the change in the level of poverty, it will help to identify variables (and thus policies) that are of particular relevance to poverty reduction. Comparing between the two regressions would thus potentially allow identifying policy issues which would have particular benefits for the poor, something that will be of relevance in discussing the results.

As a key purpose of the analysis is to identify changes in the incidence of poverty, we complement this evidence with a multinomial logit regression based on a variable $\dot{C}P_i$ which

¹⁰ Questions on community-level infrastructure access were asked retrospectively as well but revealed relatively little change over time forcing us to use only initial conditions in the regression.

¹¹ As the poverty headcount (P_0) is just a discrete representation of (continuous) changes in P_1 , we do not include it separately.

takes three values (-1, 0, and 1) for households who escaped poverty, remained in their previous status (either poor or non-poor), or fell into poverty, respectively. Using, again, a set of right hand side variables that is similar to what was reported earlier, this multinomial logit regression is defined as

$$(3) \dot{CP}_i = K + 2 X_{it-1} + \gamma Z_{it-1} + M_i$$

The advantage of this specification is that it allows distinguishing between factors that contribute to households falling into poverty and those that help them to escape the latter, an issue that needs not always to be symmetric.

3. Evidence on growth and inequality in Uganda

This section discusses data sources underlying the analysis as well as a number of descriptive statistics regarding changes in income, assets, and poverty, as well as the distribution of income and assets in the overall sample. In the 1992-2000 period, economic growth in Uganda has been considerable and the per capita expenditure distribution in 2000 dominates the one in 1992. At the same time, the fact that relative disparities seem to have widened suggests that not everybody benefited equally from economic growth. To set the stage for this analysis, we briefly describe how the panel households differ from the overall sample and discuss changes in the level of poverty they experienced.

3.1 Changes in socio-economic characteristics

Table 1 contains descriptive statistics from the two cross sectional surveys to illustrate the extent of changes between the two years as well as changes in the data included in our sample. Information is provided for the total sample (columns 1 and 2) and for poor (columns 3 and 4) and non-poor households in both periods. Table 2 contains the same information disaggregated by region.

Occupation and sources of income: Information on the occupation of the household head indicates that Uganda continues to be a predominantly rural society where the agricultural sector remains of paramount importance. With about 70% in both years, the large majority of Uganda's households draw their main livelihood from this sector. In fact, the share of the poor who indicate to derive their main livelihood from agriculture has increased, from 72% to 78%, pointing towards the critical role of rural and agricultural growth to poverty reduction. About 27% of households were headed by females in 2000, as compared to 30% in 1992. While agriculture is a major source of income, the fact that about 46% of households had a

non-farm enterprise in 1992 indicates that Ugandan households rely on a diversified portfolio of income sources. At the same time, the large majority of these enterprises are of a very small scale, and only about 15% of those who have non-agricultural enterprises employ any labor. The fact that neither the share of households with non-farm enterprises nor the employment intensity of these enterprises appears to have expanded over time suggests that such enterprises were either characterized by high rates of mortality or that the observed income increases are mainly due to expansion of existing family-based enterprises.

Education: During the period under concern, one of the main pillars of Government policy has been the expansion of education. The relatively small overall decrease in the share of household heads without education (from 31% to 26%) suggests that it will take time for this policy measure to permeate the system. Still, the share of non-poor households whose head does not have any education has dropped dramatically, from 26% to 17%. Comparing this to the stagnation in the case of poor households (where the figures are 31% and 30%, respectively) could indicate that even a minimum level of education allowed households to escape poverty. It may suggest that education may indeed be an important determinant of the ability to escape poverty, something that will be explored in more detail in the regressions.

Asset accumulation: While descriptive data can not provide direct insights into the extent to which low asset endowments increase the probability of poverty, they highlight two key facts. First, the degree of asset accumulation differed markedly between poor and non-poor. Investment was, with an average of 0.3% per annum, virtually absent for the poor while the non-poor were able to accumulate assets at a rate of more than 4% per annum. Together, this implies an increase in the typical household's asset stock of only 2.7% per annum. Even though the rate of investment at the household level may differ from total investment in the economy, this figure is very low. A second feature of interest is that the share of enterprise assets other than land remains quite limited, implying a high, though naturally declining, share of land in the asset endowment of the population. In both periods, land constitutes more than 50% of the assets held by the mean household. Policies relating to land issues, for example those that aim to increase land access, transparency of land administration and transferability of land will have important implications for households' wealth. This can explain the heated discussion and the politically very sensitive and contentious nature of legal changes such as the passage of the 1998 Land Act.

As housing is normally strongly correlated with wealth, information on housing conditions, in particular the change of such conditions over time, can provide a quick assessment of

changes in income and wealth over time. The fact that the share of households with thatched roof has dropped considerably, from 61% to 42%, as well as reductions of the share of households with dirt floor (from 55% to 46%), suggests that, by this measure, there was indeed an improvement in overall living standards. Roofing, and to a lesser degree also flooring, have also improved markedly for the poor (from 61% to 47% and 56% to 51%, respectively). At the same time, and compared to the increase in households' own investment, provision of public infrastructure services seems to have expanded at a more modest pace. While availability of piped water increased, access to electricity has been stagnant (and decreasing for the poor).

Health: Observed levels of sickness are affected by exposure to different sources of environmental risk and access to preventive and curative services. The data point towards, a significant increase in the number of days lost to illness by the average household in the month immediately preceding the survey, from about 8 days in 1992 to 12 days in 2000. As the AIDS epidemic in Uganda is widely believed to have reached its peak by or before 1992, it is unlikely that an increase in AIDS-related illness underlies this phenomenon. A more likely reason is a worsening of access to health services that was associated with higher levels of cost recovery and absence of clear policy directives comparable to UPE strategy in education. In 2000, almost two thirds of the incidents of sickness and an even greater share of the days lost were due to malaria.¹² The data also indicate that more than one fifth (23%) of the households experienced the death of a family member between 15 and 40 years of age during the period under concern, most of which are probably related to AIDS in some way. The potential for such a large share of deaths to have a broader economic impact on the survivors as well is illustrated by the fact that two out of every five households (42%) contain at least one orphan child.

Ethnicity and civil strife: To measure the incidence of civil strife, theft, and inter-personal violence, the 2000 survey asked households whether they have been affected by any of these in 1992 or 2000. The variable allows to draw three conclusions of interest (table 1). First, instead of the decrease in the incidence of civil strife over time which one would expect in a "post-conflict" society, we find a marked increase in the number of households who report to be affected by such unrest, from 7% in 1992 to 15% in 2000.¹³ Second, with an incidence of

¹² No information on the type of illness is available for 1992, precluding us from making a direct comparison of the incidence of different types of sickness between the two periods.

¹³ There is a possibility that part of the increase in the measured incidence of civil strife is due to higher levels of economic activity (the question was "were you economically harmed by civil strife"), something which could be checked by questions at the community level **. At the same time, the fact that it is the same households who answer both questions should reduce bias due to differential interpretation. This

15% in 2000, households unequivocally report civil strife as a more important source of economic damage than theft (10%) and personal violence (4%), the levels of which also have increased much less during the period under concern. Third, comparison of the incidence of civil strife at the community and the household level suggests that civil strife affects a large number of communities but only comparatively few households within each community.

3.2 Changes in the distribution of income and assets

Table 4, lines 1 and 2, provides descriptive evidence on growth in per capita income and expenditure for the panel households. We note that, even though Uganda has achieved high rates of growth by African standards, large differences across regions persist. While growth of consumption has been marked in the West, the Center, and the East, it was very limited in the North of the country. Similar large regional differences are found for growth of earned income. This is reflected in the changes observed in poverty rates to be discussed in more detail below. While poverty declined markedly in the Center, the East, and the West, the North was again lagging behind; in fact for the panel households included in our sample, the level of poverty remained completely stagnant.

A graphical illustration of the extent to which overall per capita expenditure increased between 1992 and 2000 is provided in figure 1 which plots the cumulative density of the logarithm of this variable across households for the 1992 or the 2000 survey. It is clear from the figure that the second distribution dominates the former, i.e. that, if ranked by the distribution of income, households were unequivocally better off in the second than in the first period.¹⁴ To illustrate, in 2000, less than 20% of households had a per capita income below 120 US \$ (the middle point in the picture) while in 1992, about 35% of households had less than this amount available. Thus, in the aggregate, and in absolute terms, growth has made everybody better off.

To explore to what extent such improvement in absolute levels of expenditure has translated into a narrowing of the *relative* disparities across regions as well as rural and urban sectors, we compare inequality in the distribution of per capita expenditure as well as household assets (table 3) by comparing relative means and indicators of inequality such as the Theil index. The most notable fact is the continued importance, and even widening, of inter regional disparities: While, in 1992, the average household in the Central region spent 80%

is another area where follow-up interviews for selected communities (with large increases or decreases in the incidence of civil strife measured by individuals' answers) could provide important methodological insights.

¹⁴ This does not include the possibility that individual households' income decreased over the period, an issue for which panel data will be required.

more per capita than the average household in the North, a net gain in the Center and a loss in the North implied that, in 2000, the mean household in the Center spent more than three times of the Northern one.¹⁵ Also, despite the government's emphasis on a pro-rural policy, rural-urban disparities have widened. In 1992, rural inhabitants' expenditure amounted to about 87% of the mean (compared to 1.80 times by urban ones), a share which, in 2000, had dropped to 77% (as compared to 2.21). The widening of rural-urban and inter-regional disparities is confirmed by the decomposition of the Theil index of inequality which points to a small increase in within-group inequality but a significant increase in between group inequality. Emphasis on relative rather than absolute welfare could thus explain that, in participatory exercises, many households feel that their (relative) situation had failed to improve, even though mean income has increased for everybody thus providing a possible explanation for the fact that qualitative surveys found many households to be quite dissatisfied with their present situation as compared to earlier (UPPAP 2000, McGee 2000).

Finally, although inequality of income and expenditure is important for subjective perceptions of welfare, households' ability to overcome long-term poverty may be more affected by levels of asset ownership. We note that, at the national level, as well as for rural and urban areas separately, the level of asset inequality prevailing in 1992 has been reduced, e.g. from 1.75 to 1.56 at the national level. As illustrated in table 3, there has been an insignificant increase in between-group inequality and a marked reduction in within-group inequality. This suggests that, during the period, asset-poor households have had the opportunity to catch up with their more wealthy neighbors. Notwithstanding, evidence as to the low overall level of such assets suggests that, in addition to adopting policies that would allow them to make productive use of such assets, it may also be useful to consider policies that would increase the overall level of investment. This conclusion is reinforced by the fact that, as illustrated in panel 2 of table 3, levels of asset inequality have decreased considerably in all of the regions under concern suggesting that in the past increased investment opportunities did not contribute to widening inequality in the distribution of assets which may then lead to dualistic patterns of development. The finding that, at the same time, inequality in households' asset endowments has narrowed, suggests that growth opportunities have been relatively equitably shared and provides a motivation for the empirical investigation of this issue based on the household panel.

¹⁵ No regional price deflators were applied. However, to the extent that relative prices remained constant during the two periods, this will not affect the figures obtained. If, on the other hand, terms of trade shifted systematically against the North, our figures would be a lower bound on the true changes in household welfare. This is left for further investigation.

3.3 Changes in poverty

The second half of table 4 identifies changes in the incidence of poverty for panel households. We note that, similar to what had been found for the cross section, poverty in the panel declined significantly, from 54% in 1992 to 36% in 2000. In addition, and slightly different from the cross sectional results, the panel also points towards continued high differences between regions. While poverty has almost halved in the Center (from 41% to 23%), it remained very high in the North where, insofar as panel households are concerned, no improvement can be detected. Poverty levels in the West and the East also showed a marked decrease, declining from about 58% to about 36%.

Disaggregation of changes in poverty for the panel households across regions and the urban and rural economy highlights that reductions in poverty were more pronounced in urban as compared to rural areas and also points towards a considerable lag in the rural North. Using the poverty headcount, we find that 42% of households emerged from poverty in urban areas, compared to only 25% in rural ones. Regional differences in the reduction of poverty are pronounced as well; in rural areas of the North and the East, only 22% and 18% of the panel households, respectively, emerged from poverty. In the same regions, 14% and 9% of previously non-poor panel households fell into poverty (a figure that is about 6% at the national average for both rural and urban areas). This may well explain that, despite a tremendous reduction of overall poverty levels, qualitative studies especially in these regions may find a sense of "things are not getting better".

Because the pattern of attrition is likely to be non-random, inclusion of a panel component in a multi-purpose household survey will not necessarily yield a nationally representative sample even if the original survey was designed to be representative (Demery, 1998). As this danger increases with the amount of time elapsed between the two survey periods, it could be of particular relevance in our case. Running a probit regression where the probability of being included in the panel is a function of initial household characteristics suggests that, as one would intuitively expect, the probability of attrition decreases with household size and with education and assets. The results from such a regression, reported in appendix table one, indicate that having one additional household member decreases the marginal probability of being in the panel by 0.6 percentage points. Households who initially lived in urban areas or had access to electricity were significantly less likely to re-appear in the panel than are rural ones. Concerning the regional distribution of the sample, we note that panel households are disproportionately concentrated in central and western province and least likely to be

encountered in the North as well as the East. While keeping these characteristics in mind will be useful in interpreting the results from subsequent descriptive statistics and regressions, we conclude that, even though descriptive data derived from the panel will not be representative of the population as a whole, use of the panel element to identify behavioral relationships is unlikely to impose unreasonable bias, as has been confirmed for other household surveys (Alderman et al. 2000).

4. Determinants of growth and poverty reduction

This section provides estimates from regressions of household-level changes in per capita expenditure and the incidence of poverty on initial conditions. Results suggest that initial asset ownership as well as health status have been important in facilitating growth, that the effect of education was closely linked to access to modern infrastructure (electricity) that provided an opportunity to apply the skills acquired, and that civil strife had a significant growth-reducing effect. The positive impact of asset ownership and the negative effect of civil strife is particularly pronounced for the poor.

4.1 Determinants of income and expenditure growth

Results from the household level growth regression with the mean annualized rate of per capita income and expenditure growth as the dependent variables are reported in table 5. They provide confirmation for the hypotheses discussed earlier and at the same time allow to support and put into perspective many of the issues discussed in the macro growth literature. In particular, we find that, while there is strong convergence in terms of initial levels of income, households' initial endowments of physical as well as human capital clearly enhance subsequent growth rates. Comparing the magnitude of both effects, we find that the latter are large enough to easily counteract any convergence effect. We also find clear indication of a negative impact of health conditions (which is supply- related).

Endowments: A first result of interest is the finding of strong convergence in income, but divergence in physical and human capital assets. As illustrated in table 5, the level of initial expenditure or income has a significant and negative effect on subsequent growth, implying that households with high levels of initial income or expenditures will, over time, regress towards the mean. At the same time, controlling for initial expenditure or income, higher levels of assets put their owners on a permanently higher growth path. The magnitude of this effect is considerable - a difference of one standard deviation in terms of initial assets would,

according to the regression, translate in a difference of more than 2 percentage points in terms of growth of per capita expenditure and 3 percentage points in terms of income.

The importance of assets becomes even more pronounced if, in addition to physical capital, we consider endowments of human capital. Shifting households from the current median level of three years to having completed primary education (i.e. 7 years) would, according to the regression, result in an increase of 2.8 points in growth of consumption and 2.5 points in growth of income - a formidable change. Moreover, the results suggest that the impact of higher levels of education is convex, implying that further advancements in terms of subsequent secondary enrollment will yield even bigger benefits. This reinforces not only the emphasis on measures to promote investment but also suggests that the program of Universal Primary Education (UPE) which aims to eliminate the scope for drop-outs for financial reasons and thus gradually increase enrollment, is targeting one of the critical areas for the country's future development. Concerning other initial household characteristics, we find that both income and expenditure of households who were female-headed in 1992 grew slower, by about 1 and 1.7 percentage points, respectively. Also, larger households (i.e. those with a higher number of members) grew slower than small ones, even in per capita terms.

Health: The importance of supply of curative and preventive health services is supported by an estimated strong impact of initial health conditions on growth. Regressions suggest that households, which in 1992, were affected by health problems, experienced growth of income or consumption that was 1.2 or 1.8 percentage points lower than for those who had been free of such problems. To interpret this figure, note that local supply of health services is one of the most important determinants of ill health (Deininger, 2001). For Uganda, this implies that a consistent policy, comparable to what has been implemented in the education sector, could have considerable benefit. At a broader level, and with malaria responsible for more than 80% of all incidents of sickness, this supports the hypotheses that malaria can constitute an important impediment to African development (Gallup and Sachs 2000).

Infrastructure: Our regressions point towards a very pronounced effect that is associated with initial access to electricity at the village level. Households with such access saw their income and expenditure increased by 3.5 and 6 percentage points more than those who had to do without. Even at the village level, initial access to electricity is not completely exogenous. To the extent that such supply was geared towards areas with high potential return, the estimated coefficient would be biased upwards. However, in view of the exceedingly low level of coverage (with access standing at only 2% for the poor), one would still expect ample space

for expansion before decreasing returns set in.¹⁶ This conjecture is supported by the fact that local industrialists and investors have consistently mentioned limited access to and reliability of the electricity grid as one of the main impediments to future growth and investment and in many cases to invest considerable resources to establish their own generators (Svensson and Reinikka, 2001). In fact, with a rapidly expanding supply of more educated workers in the wake of UPE, supply of infrastructure could easily develop into a binding constraint that might, in the extreme, reduce the scope for generation of well-remunerated employment, thereby affecting the incentives to acquire education.

Social capital and violence: The measure of ethnic fractionalization emerges as important and positive, in contrast to what one would expect from the cross-country literature where this variable reduced growth. One explanation could be the scope for realizing synergies as long as ethnic differences do not give rise to open conflict.¹⁷ Indeed, whether or not a household was affected by civil strife in the initial period is shown to have had a significant impact on growth of income but not expenditure. This remains true if, rather than postulating a linear effect, we allow for a possible non-linearity in the impact of this variable or use various cutoff values for “intermediate” levels of ethnic diversity as suggested by Collier et al. 2000. The magnitude of the coefficient is very large, suggesting a reduction of per capita income growth of 5 percentage points for households who have been affected by conflict. In view of the fact that this appears to be one of the first times to empirically demonstrate and quantify the negative impact of violence on economic performance at the household level, more research on this would be warranted.

Price policies: By suggesting that higher coffee prices have had a significant and quantitatively large impact on household income and welfare, our regression supports the importance the government attached to liberalization of agricultural output prices early on in the liberalization process. The large elasticity is of particular importance in view of the fact that prices for other cash crops such as cotton that are of great regional importance have declined considerably, thus greatly reducing the scope for growth especially in the Northern part of the country.

Critics often point out that, even though such price changes may have a positive impact on the rural economy in the aggregate, they tend to leave out the poor who, due to barriers

¹⁶ One might even argue that, at such a low level of coverage, political pressures to extend coverage may have been more important than economic ones – up to the extreme case where the coefficient in the regression is actually biased downwards. It is impossible for us to ascertain to what extent this has been true in Uganda.

preventing them from entering the cash crop economy, will, at best, reap indirect benefits from such price changes, e.g. through labor markets. To explore the extent to which this is true in the case of Uganda, we interact coffee price changes with initial household asset endowments (columns 2 and 4). The negative and significant (at 10%) coefficient suggests that, contrary to this argument, price changes have been particularly beneficial to the poor, implying that entry barriers into cash crop production were low. Thus, in addition to contributing to higher incomes for existing producers, the price changes have spurred a significant supply response by the less well-off, thereby allowing the poor to make better use of their labor.

While it appears that the price changes have not benefited only the rich, the high estimated elasticity implies that price decreases could have a marked negative impact on Uganda's rural economy.¹⁸ This is of special relevance in view of the fact that, with few alternative cash crops to coffee, options for risk diversification are severely limited. Although coffee prices were already well below their historical peak at the time of the survey, they have since declined even further. Avoiding the declines in producers' welfare that would be predicted by our regressions will require the development of options for crop and portfolio diversification by rural producers, by creating an environment for the development of marketing channels and processing infrastructure, in the country's different regions.

To summarize, the results for growth of income and expenditure support not only the importance of policies, public services, and initial endowments with physical as well as human capital more clearly than has been the case in cross-country regressions but also illustrate some of the trade-offs between these variables. The data on sickness suggest that better access to health services, and the associated lower levels of sickness, can increase scope for future growth. The same is true for electricity and, to a lesser degree, road infrastructure. We confirm the importance of policies to increase prices of tradeables for economic growth as well as the fact that initial endowments have a strong impact on levels of income growth.

4.2 Poverty determinants

To explore measures that might be of particular relevance for reducing poverty, we first re-estimate equation (2) with the change in the poverty gap and the squared poverty gap,

¹⁷ Note that violent conflict is included as a separate variable. Also note that ethnic diversity is greatest in urban areas - indeed, the index of ethnic fractionalization and an urban dummy are positively correlated ($\rho = 0.28$) although the introduction of such a dummy does not eliminate the significance of the fractionalization index.

¹⁸ Also note that coffee production is concentrated in some regions.

respectively, as dependent variables, thereby confirming that the factors identified earlier have similar effects on growth as well as poverty.¹⁹ Second, we use the classification of households who escaped from or fell into poverty as reported in table 3 to run a multinomial logit regression of changes in poverty status. Third, we use the estimates obtained in this equation to assess the impact of various policy interventions on the poverty headcount, thereby helping to quantify the magnitude of policy interventions needed to overcome the inter-regional discrepancies in poverty in Uganda.

Results from the regression of poverty levels on initial conditions, reported in table 6, largely confirm the importance of the factors identified earlier. Initial assets levels, both in terms of human capital and physical capital, are found to be of overriding importance. Households suffering from health problems in 1992 were characterized by higher levels of poverty in 2000, reinforcing the importance of an adequate supply of health services. The importance of public services is also illustrated by the significant and large coefficient on access to electricity and infrastructure, both of which were associated with significantly lower levels of poverty in the second period. Although being subject to civil strife in 1992 did not have any impact on poverty levels in 2000, the level of initial ethnic fractionalization had a significant poverty-decreasing impact, in line with what was observed earlier. While there was no indication that female headed households had higher poverty levels, contrary to their lower growth rates, higher household size, however, was associated with higher levels of poverty. Finally, the scope for increases in agricultural productivity and market integration to reduce poverty is illustrated by the significant and large coefficient on the price of agricultural tradables. Although this should not come as a big surprise, in view of both the predominance of agriculture as a source of employment for Uganda's poor, and the relatively limited market integration of most rural producers, it illustrates the importance of using productive policies as a means for poverty reduction. In view of the importance of agricultural prices for observed poverty levels, it is quite likely that the precipitous drop of prices for cotton, the main agricultural cash crop in the North, is one of the main reasons for the limited progress in poverty reduction that is observed in this region. The regression thus supports attention to market incentives in the productive sector.

As discussed earlier (see table 4), the net reduction in poverty levels is composed of the number of households who escaped poverty minus those who fell into poverty. To explore the underlying dynamics in more detail, and in particular to distinguish whether there are

¹⁹ It is easy to show that the coefficients for this equation with the initial level included will be the same as for the change. Also note that, because many of the dependent variables are censored, we use a tobit rather than an OLS framework.

specific factors that are more important for households falling into poverty and others that play a key role in helping it to escape from poverty, we run a multinomial logit regression with the dependent variables discussed earlier. Results, illustrated in table 7, suggest that most of the independent variables, in particular household composition, assets, and social capital, are equally important for escaping poverty or (in their absence) falling into poverty. Two variables that are more significant for falling into poverty than the other way round are ill-health and electricity. The former is easily explained by the fact that, while good health may not convey a particular advantage, ill-health can, especially in an environment characterized by AIDS and other diseases, easily throw a household into poverty. The latter is likely to emerge because, in areas where electricity was available, there were significant indirect impacts (e.g. through higher demand for labor) that reduced households' probability of falling into poverty. The conclusion is that provision of public infrastructure can, by helping to cope with shocks and by indirectly increasing demand for labor, can significantly reduce households' vulnerability, i.e. the danger of falling into poverty.

4.3 Simulation of poverty changes

To illustrate these results numerically and at the same time highlight the magnitude required for policy initiatives to tackle the inter-regional inequalities characterizing Uganda, table 8 reports results of a number of counterfactual "policy experiments" where key policy parameters are changed to explore their potential impact on the poverty levels observed in 2000. The baseline scenario, with the observed poverty headcount in 1992 as well as the headcount predicted by the regression for 2000, is presented in lines 1 and 2. While the national headcount would have decreased from 54% to 37% over the period, we note the marked differences across regions. Marked reductions in the Center (to 21%), the East (to 35%), and the West (to 39%) are accompanied by hardly any reduction in the North where 65% of households are predicted to fall below the poverty line.

The first policy experiment consists of a price change for the main tradable, coffee, that is 10% higher than what was actually observed. Compared to the baseline case, this results in a reduction of the poverty headcount by about 6 percentage points, thus illustrating the high elasticity of poverty with respect to prices. The change is fairly evenly distributed across regions; overall it is predicted that 33% of households would escape poverty and 9.4% fall into poverty. The high elasticity of poverty with respect to output prices illustrates the potentially large benefits from liberalization of agricultural trade, a policy measure that enjoys wide support among the Ugandan population (UPPAP). Also, it illustrates the

economy's vulnerability to a sudden price drop. This is illustrated by the second experiment which consists of a downward shift of coffee prices. Not surprisingly, in view of the linear character of the regression, we find that the poverty increases by slightly more than 6% in the aggregate. This is of particular relevance in view of the recent decrease in coffee prices, illustrating the need for a more diversified portfolio of cash crops the prices of which are not very highly correlated as a way of ensuring against the possibly pervasive negative impact of a negative price shock.

In view of the fact that asset endowments vary considerably across regions, the third counterfactual was an increase in the value of total (initial) assets owned to US \$ 3,000 from an average in the panel of slightly about US \$ 2,000. Note that, in view of the vast differences in initial asset endowments (which, in the panel, ranged from US \$ 852 in the North and 1,212 in the East to US \$ 2,245 in the West and 2,809 in the Center), this implies a far bigger change in percentage terms (i.e. more than tripling the initial asset endowment for dwellers of the North) for the regions that are least well-off. The impact, though modest in terms of overall poverty headcount figures which decrease by only 10% from what had actually been observed in 2000, illustrates the importance of assets - with poverty in the North decreased by more than 20 percentage points compared to 13 in the East, 10 in the West, and 7 in the Central. This, together with the vast differences in poverty levels across regions, suggests that region-specific policies might be needed in order to make a bigger dent in overall poverty and at the same time reduce the increasing disparity within regions that was confirmed by earlier regression results.

To respond to the need of a regionally differentiated policy, the last two simulations provide evidence on the potential impact of regionally targeted increases in output prices and increases in asset endowments. Line 4 reports the result of a 50% increase in the price of the main tradable that is limited only to the East and the North. The results, in terms of the incidence of poverty, are clearly impressive; the incidence of poverty declines by about 26 percentage points in both regions and the predicted of 9% in the East becomes the lowest in the whole country while the North, with a headcount of 38%, is able to catch up with the West. Although this is clearly a big shift, the underlying assumption is by no means unrealistic: Evidence from the household survey indicates that, at the national average, prices for cotton, the main cash crop cultivated in the North and the East, declined by 40% in the 1992-2000 period due to structural factors (Deininger, 2001). World cotton prices, by

comparison, were stable or showed slight increases between 1992/93 and 1998/99.²⁰ Restoring cotton prices to their original level would, thus, yield almost all that is required. Further improvements in technology as well as road infrastructure, especially if combined with reductions in transaction costs of trading, could easily account for the remaining 10%, suggesting that this scenario, and the associated decrease in poverty, is by no means unattainable.

The last simulation combines this scenario of a regionally limited price increase with a regionally limited increase of the asset endowment to US \$ 3,000. Results suggest that, with such a measure, the poverty headcount in the North would approach the levels (i.e. slightly above 20%) currently observed in the Center while poverty in the East would decline to 5%. Even though these extrapolations are of illustrative nature, they highlight the challenges to be confronted as well as the opportunities inherent in an activation of the productive sector of the rural economy.

5. Conclusion and policy implications

Inspired by the traditional cross-country literature, and using similar variables, household level analysis allows to increase the precision of the coefficients and policy relevance of the recommendations derived from such analysis, in a number of ways.

Concerning price policy, our results are broadly supportive of the strategy taken by the Government of Uganda but also illustrate a number of challenges. The importance of prices for agricultural tradables, as proxied by coffee, supports Uganda's emphasis on quick and decisive liberalization of output markets for agricultural produce in the early 1990s. Moreover, the fact that such price changes have been particularly beneficial to the poor dismisses not only the myth of liberalization being anti-poor but, more importantly, points towards the decline in cotton prices and the associated agricultural opportunities in the North as a possibly major reason for the continued high levels of poverty observed in this region. At the same time, the high elasticity of growth as well as poverty with respect to output prices implies that both price increases and decreases will have a strong impact, implying that, unless there are options for diversification, producers will be very vulnerable to negative price shocks. This is an issue that is of particular relevance for the poor.

Even though we find convergence in income, households' initial asset endowment is a significant determinant of subsequent growth performance, and of even higher importance for

²⁰ According to the FAO Trade data-base (www.fao.org), prices for the US as well as for Mali increased slightly, by 6% and 2%.

poverty reduction. The large impact of households' initial endowments of assets and educational -for growth as well as poverty reduction- supports the emphasis on expanding opportunities for basic education through UPE as a basis for a sustained increase in the country's human capital base. At the same time, it highlights the danger of not being able to have sufficient physical capital assets, especially in light of a relatively low level of investment at the household level that is emerging from the survey. Sound macro-economic policy needs to be complemented by government actions geared towards facilitating broad economic growth and poverty reduction.

The importance attributed by our regressions to education as well as health-related variables is in sharp contrast to the weak and often indirect evidence from the cross-country literature. It illustrates that analysis of micro-data in one country has the potential of providing insights that go beyond what is revealed by aggregate regressions across countries. Together with the significance of access to other infrastructure such as electricity and the income-reducing impact of civil strife, this illustrates that education needs to be complemented by access to other infrastructure to become fully effective and that without a peaceful overall environment it will be difficult for the economy to thrive. Further efforts to enhance quality of service delivery and to reduce civil conflict are thus likely to have a large payoff. Unless sound policies are complemented by provision of the public goods needed for sustained income growth, the opportunities opened up by those policies may be utilized mainly by the more affluent and exacerbate pre-existing inequalities between urban and rural as well as different regions in the country. Ensuring the equality of opportunity needed to avoid such an outcome is an important challenge for the Government in the years ahead.

Although the above discussion provides an interesting illustration of the way in which household level panel data can be used for policy analysis, the reduced form estimates are only a very first attempt to address the associated issues. More work to identify the channels and mechanisms through which the different variables operate would be of great interest. Doing so would also provide an opportunity to address many methodological and substantive issues associated with the collection, interpretation, and analysis of panel data to which no clear answers exist at the moment. It is our hope that Uganda will be in the forefront of efforts trying to address these issues, thus leading to results that will be of broader relevance for the region.

respectively, for the period under concern. Unfortunately, data for 2000 are not yet available.

6. References:

- Alderman Harold, Jere R. Behrman, Hans-Peter Kohler, John A. Maluccio, and Susan Cotts Watkins 2000, Attrition in Longitudinal Household Survey Data: Some Tests for Three Developing-Country Samples, World Bank Policy Research Paper 2447, Washington DC
- Bourguignon François 2000, Can redistribution accelerate growth and development? Paper presented at the ABCDE/Europe, Paris 26-28 June 2000
- Brock, William A. and Steven N. Durlauf 2000, Growth Economics and Reality, NBER Working Paper 8041, Cambridge MA
- Dollar, D., Kraay, A. 2000, Growth is good for the Poor, World Bank, Washington DC
- Durlauf, Steven N. and Danny T. Quah 1999, The new empirics of economic growth, NBER Working Paper 6422, Cambridge MA
- Gallup, John Luke and Jeffrey Sachs 2000, Agriculture, Climate, and Technology: Why Are the Tropics Falling Behind? *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 82(3), 731-37
- Gallup, John Luke, Jeffrey D. Sachs, and Andrew D. Mellinger 1999, Geography and Economic Development, *International Regional Science Review* 22 (2), 179-232
- Glewwe Paul, Michele Gragnolati, and Hassan Zaman, Who Gained from Vietnam's Boom in the 1990's? An Analysis of Poverty and Inequality Trends
- Glewwe, Paul and Gillette Hall 1998, Are some groups more vulnerable to macroeconomic shocks than others? Hypothesis tests based on panel data from Peru, *Journal of Development Economics* 56, 181-206
- Rodriguez Francisco and Dani Rodrik 1999, Trade Policy and Economic Growth: A Skeptic's Guide to Cross-National Evidence NBER Working Paper No. W7081, Cambridge MA

Rodrik Dani 1998, Trade Policy and Economic Performance in Sub-Saharan Africa, NBER Working Paper No. W6562, Cambridge MA

Belshaw at al. 1999,

Rodrik, Dani 2000, Institutions for high-quality growth: what they are and how to acquire them, NBER Working Paper W7540, Cambridge MA

Weisbrot, Mark, Dean Baker, Robert Naiman, and Gila Neta 2000, Growth May Be Good for the Poor - But are IMF and World Bank Policies Good for Growth? A Closer Look at the World Bank's Most Recent Defense of Its Policies, CEPR, Washington DC

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for the whole population and poor and non-poor households, 1992 and 1999

	Total		Poor		Non-Poor	
	1992	2000	1992	2000	1992	2000
Occupation of head						
Agriculture	70%	69%	72%	78%	53%	53%
Other primary	3%	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%
Manufacturing & Trade	16%	14%	14%	9%	23%	22%
Services	12%	14%	11%	9%	18%	23%
Female headed household	30%	27%	29%	27%	33%	27%
Education of head						
Head has no education	31%	26%	31%	30%	26%	17%
Head has some primary education	27%	33%	26%	25%	35%	46%
Head has completed primary	15%	16%	14%	10%	24%	27%
Assets						
Total asset value (US \$ equivalent)	2167	2670	1384	1419	3570	4914
Of which land	57%	51%	64%	56%	53%	48%
Housing materials and water						
Roof thatched	61%	42%	61%	47%	56%	33%
Dirt floor	55%	46%	56%	51%	43%	38%
Piped water	7%	11%	6%	5%	14%	21%
Flush toilet	2%	2%	2%	0%	4%	4%
Electricity in house	7%	7%	6%	2%	13%	17%
Use firewood	85%	84%	86%	92%	68%	68%
Enterprise activity and credit						
Has a non-farm enterprise	46%	45%	46%	42%	46%	51%
Credit from formal institution	15%	7%	15%	7%	18%	8%
Credit from informal institution	9%	10%	9%	9%	9%	11%
Other characteristics						
Sickness in the last month	52%	72%	53%	74%	42%	69%
Affected by civil strife	7%	10%	7%	10%	5%	9%

Table 2: Descriptive statistics by region, 1992 and 1999

	Central		East		North		West	
	1992	1999	1992	1999	1992	1999	1992	1999
Occupation of head								
Agriculture	55%	56%	74%	72%	85%	77%	74%	76%
Other primary	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	6%	3%	3%
Manufacturing & Trade	26%	20%	12%	13%	3%	7%	13%	12%
Services	14%	20%	12%	13%	8%	10%	10%	10%
Female headed household	33%	29%	26%	24%	34%	35%	26%	22%
Education of head								
Head has no education	22%	17%	31%	25%	35%	36%	37%	29%
Head has some primary education	33%	41%	27%	32%	27%	24%	21%	31%
Head has completed primary	21%	23%	14%	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
Assets								
Total asset value (US \$ equivalent)	3691	4657	1518	1597	734	798	1974	2624
Of which land	57%	50%	62%	50%	30%	31%	61%	59%
Housing materials and water								
Roof thatched	35%	15%	65%	51%	91%	91%	64%	28%
Art floor	64%	55%	42%	32%	56%	38%	58%	58%
Piped water	12%	21%	5%	7%	2%	1%	6%	10%
Flush toilet	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%
Electricity in house	15%	17%	4%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%
Use firewood	71%	67%	87%	88%	93%	94%	93%	93%
Enterprise activity and credit								
Is a non-farm enterprise	56%	49%	48%	46%	33%	49%	42%	38%
Credit from formal institution	20%	6%	6%	9%	17%	5%	18%	10%
Credit from informal institution	14%	9%	4%	12%	9%	4%	8%	13%
Household characteristics								
Sickness in the last month	49%	64%	57%	84%	59%	77%	46%	68%
Affected by civil strife	2%	4%	8%	11%	13%	13%	5%	12%

Table 3: Measures for inequality of per capita expenditure and assets, Uganda 1992 and 1999

Inequality		Income inequality		Asset inequality	
Measure		1992	1999	1992	1999
<i>Rural-urban disaggregation</i>					
Relative mean	Rural	0.8694	0.7731	0.6735	0.6495
	Urban	1.7923	2.2153	2.9049	2.8796
Theil index	National	0.2828	0.3899	1.7545	1.5592
	Rural	0.2399	0.2532	1.4023	1.1294
	Urban	0.2375	0.3311	2.843	2.6076
Decomposition	Within group inequality	0.2393	0.2804	1.573	1.3617
	Between group ineq.	0.0435	0.1096	0.181	0.1975
<i>Regional disaggregation</i>					
Relative mean	Center	1.3275	1.5199	1.7165	1.7504
	East	0.8717	0.8379	0.6222	0.6048
	North	0.7388	0.5104	0.3682	0.3129
	West	0.9367	0.8771	0.9830	0.9871
Theil index	Center	0.2732	0.3749	2.6058	1.9312
	East	0.2613	0.3044	1.3832	1.1542
	North	0.2540	0.2837	2.5757	1.2797
	West	0.2298	0.2285	1.3360	0.9852
Decomposition	Within group inequality	0.2579	0.3204	2.1041	1.3820
	Between group ineq.	0.0249	0.0695	0.1365	0.1772

Table 4: Changes in poverty and income measures, Uganda 1992/2000

	National	Regional			
		Center	East	North	West
Mean growth of per capita income	3.560	3.963	1.666	1.535	5.378
Poverty headcount in 1992	53.9%	41.1%	57.2%	66.3%	58.2%
Poverty headcount in 1999	36.3%	22.6%	36.3%	66.3%	35.8%
Change in headcount	-17.5%	-18.5%	-20.9%	0.0%	-22.8%
Share of households escaping poverty	29.2%	26.4%	34.3%	17.4%	34.1%
Share of households falling into poverty	11.7%	8.2%	13.4%	17.4%	11.3%

Source: Own computation based on 1313 panel households included in the 1999/2000 UNHS

Table 5: Determinants of changes in per capita expenditure and income, 1992-1999

	Growth of p.c. expenditure		Growth of p.c. income	
Assets in 1992 (log)	1.546*** (9.30)	4.068*** (2.88)	2.065*** (8.25)	6.390*** (2.63)
Education (years)	-0.224 (1.16)	-0.243 (1.25)	-0.165 (0.51)	-0.209 (0.65)
Education squared	0.070*** (4.19)	0.071*** (4.29)	0.086*** (2.99)	0.090*** (3.15)
HH had health problems in 1992	-1.208*** (2.96)	-1.177*** (2.89)	-1.835*** (2.92)	-1.801*** (2.88)
HH has electricity in 1992	6.012*** (5.03)	6.073*** (5.07)	3.560* (1.74)	3.626* (1.79)
Change in coffee price	0.064*** (8.27)	0.171*** (2.85)	0.046*** (3.59)	0.228** (2.20)
Female headed household in 1992	-1.045** (2.28)	-0.995** (2.17)	-1.749** (2.24)	-1.677** (2.14)
No of members age 6-14 in 1992	-0.941*** (8.74)	-0.926*** (8.53)	-0.571*** (3.61)	-0.543*** (3.42)
No of members age 15-60 in 1992	-0.772*** (4.30)	-0.763*** (4.18)	-1.199*** (5.48)	-1.174*** (5.26)
No of members age > 60 in 1992	-0.847** (2.31)	-0.839** (2.30)	-2.013*** (3.36)	-1.977*** (3.30)
Dist. to municipality (10 kms)	-0.669 (1.42)	-0.709 (1.50)	1.338** (2.05)	1.311** (2.00)
Ethnic fractionalization in 1992	1.981*** (3.18)	2.044*** (3.27)	3.274*** (3.31)	3.391*** (3.41)
Affected by civil strife in 1992	-0.679 (0.81)	-0.746 (0.88)	-5.283*** (3.81)	-5.419*** (3.92)
Initial assets *Δ coffee price		-0.008* (1.82)		-0.014* (1.80)
Initial value of cons./income	-11.911*** (33.93)	-11.893*** (33.95)	-11.669*** (30.98)	-11.693*** (31.11)
Constant	115.519*** (24.19)	81.908*** (4.21)	103.502*** (18.11)	46.426 (1.41)
Observations	1222	1222	1165	1165
R-squared	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53

Robust t statistics in parentheses

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table 6: Determinants of poverty in 1999 (tobit regressions)

	Dependent variable			
	Poverty gap		Squared poverty gap	
Assets in 1992 (log)	-0.054*** (6.80)	-0.087*** (10.34)	-0.031*** (7.27)	-0.049*** (10.72)
Education (years)	-0.013*** (3.25)	-0.023*** (5.28)	-0.007*** (3.46)	-0.012*** (5.22)
HH had health problems in 1992	0.089*** (3.87)	0.046** (2.07)	0.053*** (4.35)	0.031*** (2.61)
HH has electricity in 1992	-0.204** (2.25)	-0.203** (2.16)	-0.109** (2.20)	-0.112** (2.13)
Change in coffee price	-0.004*** (10.48)	-0.003*** (6.95)	-0.002*** (11.44)	-0.002*** (7.76)
(Squared) poverty gap in 1992	0.246*** (5.34)	0.174*** (3.91)	0.168*** (4.69)	0.115*** (3.29)
Female headed household in 1992		0.008 (0.32)		0.017 (1.28)
No of members age 6-14 in 1992		0.037*** (6.83)		0.019*** (6.37)
No of members age 15-60 in 1992		0.046*** (6.52)		0.026*** (6.78)
No of members age > 60 in 1992		0.025 (1.30)		0.018* (1.78)
Dist. to municipality (10 kms)		0.055** (2.50)		0.037*** (3.14)
Ethnic fractionalization in 1992		-0.105*** (3.19)		-0.060*** (3.34)
Affected by civil strife in 1992		0.055 (1.45)		0.033 (1.59)
Constant	1.790*** (12.37)	1.748*** (12.29)	1.027*** (13.45)	0.992*** (13.07)
Observations	1259	1222	1259	1222
Log-likelihood	-533.39	-457.67	-206.73	-139.29
Absolute value of t-statistics in parentheses				

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table 7: Multinomial logit for changes in poverty status between 1992 and 2000

	<i>Probability of</i>	
	Escaping poverty	Falling into poverty
Asset endowments in 1992 (log)	0.322*** (5.09)	-0.432*** (5.67)
Mean education (years)	0.135*** (3.86)	-0.136*** (3.55)
HH had health problems in 1992	0.169 (0.91)	0.428* (1.84)
HH had access to electricity in 1992	-0.801 (0.61)	-2.026** (2.24)
No of members age 6-14 in 1992	-0.241*** (5.25)	0.220*** (4.25)
No of members age 15-60 in 1992	-0.204*** (3.27)	0.274*** (4.28)
No of members age > 60 in 1992	-0.084 (0.55)	-0.067 (0.36)
Dist. to municipality (10 kms)	-0.242 (1.35)	-0.010 (0.04)
Ethnic fractionalization in 1992	1.215*** (5.03)	-1.147*** (3.96)
Affected by civil strife in 1992	-0.400 (1.29)	0.032 (0.08)
Change in coffee price	0.011*** (4.90)	-0.006** (2.21)
HH was poor in 1992	23.410*** (28.07)	-42.550 (0.00)
No. of observations	1237	
Log-likelihood	-653.15	
	0.4314	

Absolute value of z statistics in parentheses

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table 8: Simulated impact of various policy interventions on the predicted poverty headcount

	<i>Regions</i>				<i>National</i>
	Center	East	North	West	
Baseline scenario					
Poverty headcount 1992	41.1%	57.2%	66.3%	58.2%	53.9%
Predicted headcount for 2000	21.3%	35.3%	64.5%	39.2%	36.9%
1. National level price changes (+10%)					
Share of people escaping poverty	31.9%	37.7%	22.4%	35.4%	32.8%
Share of people falling into poverty	6.8%	8.6%	15.7%	9.4%	9.4%
Predicted level of poverty	15.8%	28.4%	59.5%	32.1%	30.6%
2. National level price changes (-10%)					
Predicted level of poverty	28.1%	42.8%	69.2%	46.8%	43.7%
3. Overall increase in initial assets (to 3000 USD)					
Share of people escaping poverty	32.9%	41.4%	31.5%	36.7%	35.8%
Share of people falling into poverty	6.7%	7.1%	10.9%	8.5%	8.0%
Predicted level of poverty	14.7%	23.3%	45.6%	29.9%	26.2%
4. Region specific price increase					
Share of people escaping poverty	29.1%	50.4%	36.0%	31.3%	35.7%
Share of people falling into poverty	9.8%	1.8%	7.6%	12.1%	8.3%
Predicted level of poverty	21.6%	8.9%	37.8%	39.0%	26.6%
5. Region specific price plus initial asset increase					
Share of people escaping poverty	29.1%	53.7%	48.2%	31.3%	38.4%
Share of people falling into poverty	9.8%	1.0%	3.3%	12.1%	7.5%
Predicted level of poverty	21.6%	4.9%	21.2%	39.0%	23.1%

Appendix table 1: Probit selection equation for panel households


	Dependent variable: Household in panel
Household size	0.006*** (3.01)
Education (years) in 1992	0.007** (2.54)
Education squared in 1992	0.000** (2.01)
Assets (log)	0.005*** (4.85)
Female headed household in 1992	-0.001 (0.08)
At least 1 member sick last month (1992)	-0.014* (1.72)
No of days lost to sickness	0.000 (0.52)
HH has electricity in 1992	-0.059*** (4.58)
No of members age 6-14 in 1992	0.002 (0.59)
No of members age > 60 in 1992	0.009 (1.40)
Urban community	-0.100*** (12.21)
Eastern region	-0.030*** (3.45)
Northern region	-0.055*** (6.14)
Western region	0.004 (0.42)
No. of observations	9923
Log-likelihood	-3724.39
Pseudo R ²	0.0650

Robust z-statistics in parentheses

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Note: Estimation is based on the 1992 sample.

Figure 1: Cumulative density of per capita expenditure in 1992 and 2000, Uganda



ECONOMIC POLICY RESEARCH CENTRE

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>AUTHOR(S)</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>DATE</u>
1	John Matovu Luke Okumu	Credit Accessibility to the Rural Poor in Uganda	May 1997
2	Marios Obwona John Ddumba-Ssentamu	Nature and Determinants of Domestic Savings in Uganda	August 1997
3	Fred Opio	The Impact of Structural Adjustment Programme on Poverty and Income Distribution in Uganda	September 1997
4	Marios Obwona	Determinants of Foreign Direct Investments and their Impact on Economic Growth in Uganda	December 1998
5	Marios Obwona	Savings Mobilisation and Credit Conduits: Formal and Informal Financial Sector Linkages	January 1998
6	Michael Atingi-Ego Winnie Rwebeyanga	The Effectiveness of the Treasury Bill as an Instrument of Monetary Policy in Uganda	October 1998
7	Adam Mugume Marios Obwona	Public Sector Deficit and Macroeconomic Performance in Uganda	August 1998
8	Francis Nathan Okurut Jonathan J.A.O. Odwee Asaf Adebua	Determinants of Regional Poverty in Uganda	February 1999
9	Marios Obwona	Estimating Unreported Income of the Self-Employed and Tax Evasion in Uganda: An Expenditure-Based Approach	March 1999
10	Charles A. Abuka David M. Sajjabi	The Importance of Domestic and External Factors in the Appreciation of the Real Exchange Rate in Uganda	March 1999
11	Fred Kakongoro Muhumuza	How Responsive is Tax Revenue to Growth in Uganda	June 1999
12	Klaus Deininger John Okidi	Capital Market Access, Factor Demand, and Agricultural Development in Rural Areas of Developing Countries: The case of Uganda	June 1999
13	Darlison Kaija	Community and Economic Impact of Export Diversification: The Cut-Flower Industry in Uganda	September 1999

14	Marilyn Kamanyire	External Financing and Economic Performance: The Case of Uganda	September 1999
15	Marios Obwona	Foreign Direct Investment: Leader of Follower	September 1999
16	Gloria Kempaka	Exchange Rate Movements and Their Effect on Industrial Production in Uganda	September 1999
17	John Alphonse Okidi	Regional Growth Disparities and Household Economic Performance in Uganda	September 1999
18	John Alphonse Okidi	The Potential for Community-based Management of Forest Resources in Uganda	September 1999
19	John Alphonse Okidi	The Degree of Socially Sub-optimal Individual Behaviour in Collective Resource Management	September 1999
20	Peter Mijumbi	Estimation of Regional Staple Food Demand Elasticities using 1993-4 Uganda National Survey Data	September 1999
21	Godfrey Bahigwa	The Impact of Trade and Investment Policies on the Environment: The Case of the Fisheries Industry in Uganda	September 1999
22	Paul Okiira Okwi	Poverty in Uganda: A Multivariate Analysis	October 1999
23	Torgny Holmgren Louis Kasekende Michael Atingi-Ego & Daniel Ddamulira	Aid and Reform in Uganda - Country Case Study	September 1999
24	Bruno R.M. Ocaya	The Economic Performance of Highly Indebted African Countries	October 1999
25	Godfrey B.A. Bahigwa	Household Food Security in Uganda: An Empirical Analysis	December 1999
26	Charles A. Abuka & Augustine Wandera	Determinants of Price Level Dynamics in Uganda: Some Stylized Facts and Empirical Evidence	June 2001
27	Marios Obwona and Adam Mugume	Credit Accessibility and Investment Decisions in Uganda's Manufacturing Sector: An empirical investigation	June 2001
28	Klaus Deininger and John Okidi	Growth and Poverty reduction in Uganda, 1992-2000: panel data evidence	March 2002

Copies of the above Working Papers can be obtained by sending Shs. 6,000/= (\$ 5.00) per copy (plus \$ 10.00 per order for postage and handling for all locations outside Uganda). Payments may be made by cheque or Money Order. All cheques should be drawn in US Dollars. For all mail orders, please be sure to include your return address and telephone number. Working Papers may also be ordered by telephone, Fax, or E-mail.



51 Pool Road, Makerere University Campus P.O. Box 7841, Kampala, Uganda
Tel: 256-41-541024/541023, 540141/540159 Fax: 256-41-541022 Email: eprc@eprc.or.ug Website: www.eprc.or.ug

Printed by: Comfort Graphic Systems - 077 505 441